

O'Donnell, Mary Beth

From: Orjiako, Oliver
Sent: Monday, March 09, 2015 3:29 PM
To: O'Donnell, Mary Beth
Subject: RE: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)



OP16#0545

Yes, please. Thanks.

From: O'Donnell, Mary Beth
Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2015 9:40 AM
To: Orjiako, Oliver
Subject: FW: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)

See below – should this be included in the index? Thank you.
MB

From: Anderson, Colete
Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2015 9:38 AM
To: O'Donnell, Mary Beth
Subject: FW: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)

MB, please ask Oliver if this goes into the index. C

From: Euler, Gordon
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2015 8:41 AM
To: Orjiako, Oliver; Anderson, Colete
Subject: FW: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)

FYI.

From: Snodgrass, Bryan
Sent: Thursday, February 26, 2015 4:17 PM
To: 'steve yochim'
Cc: Euler, Gordon
Subject: RE: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)

Steve

Thanks for the inquiry. The area north of 199th St is at the farthest northern reach of the Vancouver UGA, far from city limits. It was added to the VUGA by the County in the previous (2007) Comprehensive Plan update by the County. The City did not request its inclusion in the VUGA at that time, but has not taken a formal position in this update cycle. I understand some of these areas are intended for employment and subject to ongoing subarea planning, which the city does support.

The County authority for final designation of UGA boundaries in RCW 36.70A.110(1)

[http://apps.leg.wa.gov/RCW/default.aspx?cite=36.70A.110:](http://apps.leg.wa.gov/RCW/default.aspx?cite=36.70A.110)

(1) Each county that is required or chooses to plan under RCW 36.70A.040 shall designate an urban growth area or areas within which urban growth shall be encouraged and outside of which growth can occur only if it is not urban in nature.

Counties are required to consult with cities in exercising this authority, which Clark County is doing in this update cycle.

Let me know if you have further questions or concerns.

BRS

From: steve yochim [mailto:newmedtc@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 26, 2015 1:55 PM
To: Snodgrass, Bryan
Subject: FW: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)

From: postmaster@mail.hotmail.com
To: newmedtc@hotmail.com
Date: Thu, 26 Feb 2015 11:56:07 -0800
Subject: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)

This is an automatically generated Delivery Status Notification.

Delivery to the following recipients failed.

bryan.snodgrass@ci.vancouver.wa.us

--Forwarded Message Attachment--

From: newmedtc@hotmail.com
To: bryan.snodgrass@ci.vancouver.wa.us; georealtor@aol.com
Subject: Urban growth boundary North 199th
Date: Thu, 26 Feb 2015 11:56:06 -0800

Bryan,

We had a great discussion last week concerning our zoning issues or the lack of zoning between 199th Street and 209th Street along 10th Avenue. I forgot to discuss our chance of having the Urban Growth Boundary rescinded back to 199th Street. As we discussed many times with our group of neighbors and the Board of Commissioners, we do not want to be within the urban growth boundary of Vancouver. Don't get me wrong; we do not have any issues with the city of Vancouver but with our county government and how they make decisions. So, for the record, would it be possible to rescind the Urban Growth Boundary back to 199th St. without a big legal battle? Also, our conversation ended with you saying that the city of Vancouver only helps to designate the urban boundary along with the county, and that all the zoning issues are decided by the board of Clark County Commissioners within the unincorporated boundary.

Finally, could you give me a reference to the location of this law within state records?

Thank you for your time and efforts on this matter,
Steve Yochim